



NAPOLI NOBILISSIMA

SETTIMA SERIE

Ethical Code of Conduct

Napoli nobilissima is a scientific peer-reviewed journal that has adopted an ethical code of conduct following the principles set out by COPE-Committee on Publication Ethics in the *Code of Conduct and Best Practice Guidelines for Journal Editors*. It is required that all those involved in the publication of the Journal – the publisher, editor-in-chief, editorial board, scientific committee, peer reviewers, authors – be familiar with, accept, and comply with the specific ethical guidelines indicated below.

Duties of Editors

The publisher, editor-in-chief, and editorial board, are responsible for what is published in the Journal. They shall select manuscripts for publication exclusively on the basis of an objective evaluation of their content, without regard to the authors' race, gender, sexual orientation, ethnic origin, nationality, religious belief, political philosophy, or institutional affiliation. The editors are further expected to comply with the established norms regarding libel, copyright infringement, and plagiarism; to guarantee that privileged information or ideas in texts submitted be kept confidential; to not use unpublished information in a submitted manuscript for their own research purposes without the authors' explicit written consent; to inform peer reviewers and authors of what is expected of them.

Duties of Peer Reviewers

The peer reviewers – scholars not belonging to the organs of the periodical or, exceptionally, members of the scientific committee chosen by the editor-in-chief and the editorial board – shall collaborate with the organs of the Journal to furnish an objective evaluation of submissions for publication and may help the author to improve his manuscript, communicating with the latter through the mediation of the editorial board (double-blind peer-review procedure). Initially two peer reviewers are chosen, whose judgments of submissions can be: a) positive; b) tentatively positive with suggestions or indications of changes to be made; c) negative.

In the case of two clearly conflicting judgments, the text shall be sent to a third reviewer. Peer reviewers consenting to review an article must respect the time limits set by the editorial board and treat the article entrusted to them as confidential. Any reviewer who does not feel qualified to review the assigned manuscript, or is unable to review it in the time allotted, must promptly communicate this to the editors and decline the invitation to review. The reviewer shall not accept manuscripts for peer reviewing that would imply a conflict of interest.

The manuscripts received must be treated as reserved documents. They must not be shown to or discussed with anyone not previously authorized by the editorial board. The reviewer should indicate bibliographical and documental sources that have not been consulted and cited, making them known to the author, not directly but through the mediation of the editorial board to insure that the procedure remain anonymous.

The reviewer who should note in the text any suspicious repetitions or similarities with other published works shall make this known to the editors.

Duties of Authors

Upon submitting a work to the Journal, the author shall declare that it is completely original and that all the sources used have been cited. Submission of a manuscript concurrently to more than one journal is unethical behavior and unacceptable. The real authorship of the article submitted must be made clear, and any persons who have contributed significantly to the conception, design, and research must be indicated as coauthors.

In the case of submissions written by more than one person, it is the responsibility of the author who submits the work to the Journal to communicate the full names of the coauthors and attest that their approval has been given to the work in its final version and that they consent to its publication. By submitting the work to the Journal, the author implicitly declares that no conflict of interest exists that might reasonably be construed to influence the results and/or their interpretation in the text, and that he/she will disclose all sources of financial support for research for the work. An author who should discover a significant factual error or misinformation in the submitted article shall inform the editors of the Journal with all due haste, furnishing the information necessary to make correction.